

**COURT OF SPECIAL APPEALS OF MARYLAND
CORRECTION NOTICE**

May 20, 2020

**Re: In re: J. H.
No. 2461, Sept. Term, 2018**

Unreported opinion filed April 29, 2020 by Reed, J. (Wright, Reed, Sharer, J. Frederick, Senior Judge, Specially Assigned, JJ.

On pp. 7-8, the sentence that starts on the second to last line of p. 7 and ends on the second line of p. 8 currently reads:

In the instance that a juvenile believes that the charging petition is insufficient to advise them of the factual situation upon which they are accused, the petition can be supplied “through other means” so long as “the essential elements of the charged offense have been identified.”

It should read:

In the instance that a juvenile believes that the charging petition is insufficient to advise them of the factual situation upon which they are accused, additional factual detail can be supplied “through other means” so long as “the essential elements of the charged offense have been identified.”

On page 18, the fourth line on the page currently reads:

CR § 3-301 (e) (1). Mississippi does not possess any case canon on whether the perineum is

It should read:

CR § 3-301 (d) (1). Mississippi does not possess any case canon on whether the perineum is

On page 19, the eighth line on the page currently reads:

for the purposes of penetration, as outline in CR § 3-301 (e) (1).

It should read:

For the purposes of penetration, as outline in CR § 3-301 (d) (1).

On page 33, the third line from the bottom of the page currently reads:

substantive purposes. My questions were about [redacted]’s demeanor throughout

In should read:

substantive purposes. My questions were about [the victim’s] demeanor throughout

A corrected opinion has been posted on the Court's webpage: www.mdcourts.gov/cosappeals.

/S/

Gregory Hilton
Clerk of the Court of Special Appeals